Analysis of Speech and Hearing Cases seen in Camps: A Report

Venkatesh Aithal

Speech Pathologist and Audiologist
Dept. of Otolaryngology
Division of Audiology and Speech.
Mafraq Hospital.
P.O. Box 2951. Abu Dhabi, UAE.

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence and incidence of communication disorders involving speech, language and hearing needs to be carefully studied. Estimates of prevalence and incidence of these disorders in the general population are few, and probably needs more careful study.

Surveys on speech and hearing disorders in general population show wide discrepancies. Palmer (1963) estimated that 5% of our population are suffering from speech and hearing problems. (Subramanyam and Satyan, 1973). Many investigators have reported different percentage of hearing loss Kapur (1967) -16.3%; Gupta (1965) -35.4%; Bhatia and Mishra (1961) -28% and 30%; Jain (1967) -37%; Kameswaran (1967)

\$\psi^7\%; Nikam (1971) reported that 3.9\% of school going children have hearing problem. Vishwanath, Nagaraj, Sridhar and Satyan (1971) reported that 18.9\% of rural school going children have hearing problem and 3.9\% have speech defect. Mehaboob Satyan and Subramanyam (1973), reported 1470 hearing loss cases out of 2571 cases exmained in Speech and Hearing Camps. Subramanyam and Satyan in 1973 reported that 1210 cases had hearing loss and 1186 had speech problem out of 2810 cases, examined at dif-

ferent speech and hearing screening camps and exhibitions

The effort to collect census of the disabled population in our country was given up after 1931 census; presumably because, in rural areas (especially) people tend to withold information in regard to the prevalence of physical disabilities before the census enumerators. According to National Sample Survey Number 220 (covering two rounds, 24th and 28th) the incidence of deafness and delayed speech and language per thousand population is 1.27. But during 1981 census disabled are enumerated as in the pre-1931. But many social workers with experience in the field feel that the actual number would be much higher than what has been indicated through survey. The sample survey has also shown that size of the disabled population in the rural areas in about twice as large as that in urban areas.

Speech and hearing camps are useful for education of public and parents of handicapped regarding early identification and management, prevention, services available at different places and government help and assistance in this field.

Otitis media is the most common cause of conductive disorder in children. Welsh, Welsh and Healy (1983) reported that inter-

mittent hearing impairment due to middle ear disease in the early years of life results in a central auditory disturbance. Even the mild to moderate degree of hearing loss leads to varying degree of abnormality in communicative skills, social integration and educational achievement. Prevention of Otitis media and the likelihood of permanent hearing loss includes control of allergy, acute upper respiratory infections, middle ear infections, by Myringotomy, Eustachian Tube dysfunction by careful by adinoidectomy and follow up to ensure that middle ear infection has subsided.

Noise induced hearing loss and hearing impairment due to Ototoxic drugs can be checked and prevented. Ototoxic drugs should not be used unless absolutely necessary and with thorough understanding of risks involved. In case of Rh incompatibility transfusions are helpful. Parental viral infections such as mumps, measles, rebella etc., can be prevented by the use of vaccines. Prevention of hereditary deafness in todays world needs effective ways of genetic counselling. The mode of inheritance is the key to consideration of the prevention of hereditary deafness.

Many of the speech and hearing disorders can be rectified or minimised if they are identified at an early age and professional help is sought. Hearing impairment during the critical period of speech development is deterimental to the acquisition of communicationskills. Early identification and selection of proper aural amplification plays a significant role in the total and effective rehabilitation of hearing handicapped.

There is a confusion between the use of the terms incidence and prevalence. Incidence refers to the frequency with which a specific disorder occurs within a defined population during a stated period of time. It represents the frequency of the event. Prevalence, is the proportion of persons in a defined population who, at a specified time, are affected or have been affected by a particular disorder. Prevalence represents the accumulated incidence of new cases during previous years from which deaths from all causes have been subtracted. Prevalence, refers to much like one's current bank balance.

EVALUATION

Hitherto 49 speech and hearing camps had been conducted by the Institute of Speech and Hearing, Bangalore. In many places, camps have been conducted more than one time. This study includes data collected during 1981 to 1983, of 19 such speech and hearing camps held in and around Bangalore city. There was no repetition of camp at a place and cases evaluated were self-referral cases. Data collected from camps before 1981 and after 1983 were not included because of insufficient information.

The camps were conducted with the help of Lions Club (mainly) Rotary Club, local people, and other organizations. Pre-camp publicity was carried out by local agencies through pamphlets, newspapers, AIR, announcement in schools and colleges, projection of slides in cinema theaters, informing to local hospitals and general practitioners. All cases were not tested for all problems. We adopted categorization of cases and it was carried out by our receptionist of the Institute with the help of a Speech Pathologist during registration. After this, depending upon the nature of the problem, cases were channellised to respective departments (ENT, Audiology, Speech, Psychology, Hearing aid trial and counselling). Counselling, further referral and follow up to the Institute

was done at the respective departments only. If a case needs help from more than one department, he was referred to other respective department and was counselled at the last department.

ENT Examination:

It was carried out by two Visiting ENT specialists of the Institute.

Hearing Evaluation:

These evaluations were carried out by Audiologist. Two Portable Audiometers (Arphi M I and EB 90) were used. Testing was conducted in two separate rooms, at reasonably quiet available part of the building. Cases waiting their turn were seated outside the room. Frequencies tested were 500,1000,2000 and 4000Hz. Audiometers were checked for calibration, before taking to camps and biological calibration was done before using. Small children (4 years and above) were conditioned to put marbles in a box, every time tone was heard. Standard audiometric procedures were used for older group.

Speech Evaluation:

It was carried out by two speech pathologist of the Institute. Initially oral and nasal structures were examined for any abnormality. Two passages were selected from a text book in Kannada or English or Telugu or Tamil depending upon the language of the case who was asked to read it. The voice quality, articulation and rhythm were examined for deviation while passage was being read. Picture cards were used to test young children. Each case was asked as to whether he had any previous history of speech disorder, and was asked to describe the problem.

Psychological Evaluation:

Psychological evaluation was carried out by the clinical Psychologist of the Institute.

Bhatia's battery of intelligence testing, SFB and developmental schedules were used to asses the intelligence.

Hearing Aid Trial:

It was carried out by an Audiologist and Speech Pathologist of the Institute. Cases were referred to the Institute, wherever detailed evaluation were needed

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of cases, in this study refers to only self referred cases. 2504 people reported with the complaint of Speech and Hearing problem, out of which 2443 cases had Speech and Hearing disorder and 61 people had no defects. 3707 people reported with the complaint of Ear, Nose and Throat difficultues, out of which 3202 had ENT problem and remaining 505 cases had no abnormality. In all, 6211 were screened for various Speech, language, hearing and ENT disorders. Total number of camps conducted was 19. Average of cases examined at a particular camp was 327.

Table 1 shows total number and percentage of various cases with speech hearing disorders. Out of 2504 cases reported, 989 cases had hearing loss without speech and languagedelay (39.49%), 731 cases had delayed speech and language associated with mental retardation, hearing loss and lack of stimulation (29.21%). 61 cases had no defects (2.44%) and 653 cases had other speech disorders (26.1%). Prevalence of hearing disorder was more than delayed speech and language. Among other speech disorders, prevalence of stuttering (15.16%) was higher than remaining speech disorders.

Table 2 shows age and sex distribution of cases with speech and hearing disorders. Examination of the Table (2) reveals that

Table 1: Total Number and Percentage of cases with Speech and Hearing Disorders

SI. Name of the Disorder T	`otal	%
1. Delayed speech and language, Delay	ed	
speech & lang. with other disorders	731	29.21
2. Misarticulation	98	3.91
3. Stuttering	380	15.16
4. Stuttering with hearing loss	7	0.28
5. Normal Non fluency	28	1.12
6. Cluttering	16	0.64
7. Cleft palate	20	0.80
8. Puberphonia	47	1.88
9. Cerebral palsy	14	0.55
10. Aphasia	14	0.55
11. Dysarthria	16	0.64
12. Stuttering with mental retardation	4	0.16
13. Brain damage	9	0.36
14. Miscellaneous	70	2.80
15. Normal	61	2.44
16. Hearing loss	989	39.49
Total	2504	100%

males were more than females. There were more cases below 16 years of age, and it was more among males than females.

Table 3 shows total number and percentage of cases with hearing disorders. Prevalence of Sensorineural hearing loss (55.72%) was found to be more than conductive hearing loss (27.40%) and mixed hearing loss (16.68%). Table 5 shows age and sex distribution of cases with hearing disorders. Here too males were more than females. There were more cases above 17 years of age, and males were more than females.

Table 4 shows total number and percentage of cases with delayed speech and language. 77 cases had delayed speech and language only (10.53%). 329 cases had delayed speech and language associated with hearing loss (45%). 213 cases had delayed speech and language associated with mental

Table 2: Age and Sex distribution of cases with Speech and Hearing disorder

	Name of the d		1ale	Fem	ale
	Name of the d	lisorder Upto		Linto	7vrs &
		16yrs	above	16yrs	above
		10313	ubove	10313	above
1.	Delayed speech and la	ing.,			
	delayed speech & lang	g.			
	associated with other				
	disorders	389	71	252	19
i	Misarticulation	57	9	28	4
3.	Stuttering	221	111	43	5
4.	Stuttering with				
	hearing loss	-	5	-	2
5.	Normal Non Fluency	17		11	-
6.	Cluttering	5	7	2	2
7.	Cleft palate	6	1	11	2
8.	Puberphonia	-	39	-	8
9.	Cerebral palsy	9	i	3	-
10.	Aphasia	-	11	-	3
11.	Dysarthria	3	11	1	1
12.	Stuttering with mental				
	retardation	-	3	-	1
13.	Brain damage	4	1	4	0
14.	Hearing loss	157	538	101	193
15.	Miscellaneous	17	23	14	16
16.	Normal	19	19	13	10
	Total	904	1755]851	483 [7	49] 266

Table 3: Total Number of Percentage of Cases with Hearing disorders

Sl. No.	Name of the Disorder	Total	%
1.	Sensorineural hearing loss	553	55.92
2.	Mixed hearing loss	165	16.68
3.	Conductive hearing loss	271	27.40
	Total	989	100%

retardation (29.14%). Table 6 shows distribution of age and sex of cases with delayed speech and language. Here too

males were more than females. There were more cases below 16 years of age, and males were more than females.

Table 7 shows total number and percentage of cases with Ear, Nose and Throat problems. 1473 cases had CSOM (39.74%). and prevalence of CSOM was higher than any other disorders. No abnormality was detected among 505cases (13.63%)Table8 shows age and sex distribution of cases with Ear, Nose and Throat disorders. Males were more than females and also male cases below 16 years were more than females cases.

Table 4: Total Number and Percentage of Cases with Delayed Speech and Language.

SI. No.	Name of the Disorder	Total	%
1.	Delayed speech and language + menial retardalion + hearing	61	8.34
2.	· ·	213	29.14
3.	Delayed speech ami language +		
4.	hearing loss Limited speech and language	329	45
	with hearing loss	51	6.98
5.	Delayed speech and language	77	10.53
	Total	731	100%

Table 5: Age and Sex distribution of cases with Hearing Loss.

Name of the disorder	Male		Fei	nale
Name of the disorder	•	17yrs& above	•	17yrs & above
1. Scnsorineural hearing 2. Mixed hearing loss 3. Conductive hearing lo	73 26 oss 58	323 81 134	20	122 38 33
Total	157	695 538	3 101 2	294 193

Table 6: Age and Sex distribution of cases with Delayed Speech and Language

	Nome of the disorder	N	Male		Fe male	
	Name of the disorder		17yrs& above	•	7yrs & above	
1. 2.	Delayed speech & Iar Limited speech & Ian	_	-	29	-	
	with hearing loss	-	35	-	16	
3. 4.	Delayed speech & Iar with hearing loss Delayed speech & Iar	194	-	135	-	
	with mental retardation	_	24	73	2	
5.	Delayed speech & Iar along with mental retardation & hearing	Ü				
	loss	33	12	15	1	
	Total	389	460 71	252 27	1 19	

731 cases had delayed speech and language (29.21%) and 653 cases had other speech and language disorders (26.1%). Only 77 cases had pure delayed speech and language. In all, 1384 cases had speech and language disorder (55.31%) which is higher than hearing loss alone (39.49%). But Syed Mehaboob, Satyan, and Subramanyan (1973), and Mythili (1983) reported higher prevalence of hearing loss than speech disorders. Present study contradicts their findings.

For both speech, hearing and ENT disorders males were more than females. This may be because of the fact that there were more number of males in general population than females, (census of India 1981). Male female ratio for speech disorders was 2.33:1, and 2.36:1 for hearing disorders. Male females ration for delayed speech and language was 1.70:1. Male female ratio for Ear, Nose and Throat disorder was found to be 1.64:1. Here too male cases predominated female cases. These analyses suggests that males were having more propensity for communicative disorders. The same thing

Table 7: Total Number and Percentage of Cases with Ear, Nose and Throat Problems

SI. No	Name of the Disorder	Total	%
1.	Chromic supporative otitis		
	media (CSOM)	1473	39.74
2.	Acute supporalive otitis		
	media (CSOM)	55	1.48
3.	Supporative otitis Media (SOM)	16	0.43
4.	Rhinnitis	311	8.39
5.	Tonsillitis and Adenoids	211	5.69
6.	Laryngitis	13	0.35
7.	Pharyngitis	65	1.75
8.	Sinusitis	98	2.64
9.	Otomycosis	8	0.22
10.	Foreign body	12	0.32
11.	Otosclerosis	20	0.54
12.	Extrenal otitis	159	4.29
13.	Upper Respitalory infections	66	1.78
14.	Wax	117	3.16
15.	Deflected Nasal Septum	27	0.73
16.	Nasal allergy	21	0.57
17.	Tinnitus	24	0.65
18.	Eustachian Tube Catarrah	24	0.65
19.	Vocal Cord Palsy	4	0.12
20.	Ear Ache	11	0.30
21.	Furnculosis	5	0.13
22.	Vestibulitis	3	0.08
23.	Miscellaneous	459	12.38
24.	No abnormality delected (NAD)	505	13.63
	Total	3707	100%

had been reported by many Indian investigators (Syed Mehaboob et al, 1973, Ram Mohan Babu and Satyendra Kumar 1972, Mythili 1982) as well as foreign investigators (Stewart, 1981, Stewart et al 1971, Gillespie and Cooper, 1973).

Table 9 shows total general population and distribution of speech, hearing and Ear, Nose and Throat disorders at 19 different places.

Table 8: Age and Sex distribution of cases with Ear, Nose and Throat Disorders

	Male		Female	
	Upto 16yrs	17yrs& above	Upto 16yrs	-
1. CSOM	602	333	390	148
2. ASOM	20	9	19	7
3. SOM	4	5	5	2
4. Rhinnitis	84	113	62	52
5. Tonsillitis and Adeno	ids 64	42	76	29
6. Laryngitis	2	8	-	3
7. Pharyngitis	9	39	5	12
X. Sinusitis	41	25	15	17
9. Otomycosis	1	2	-	-
10. Foreign body	5	1	6	-
1 1. Otosclerosis	1	17	-	2
12. Extrenal otitis	25	65	27	42
13. URI	10	15	30	11
14. Wax	51	33	20	13
15. DNS	6	19	1	1
16. Nasal allergy	7	9	-	5
17. Tinnitus	2	15	-	7
18. ET Catarrah	14	6	3	1
19. Vocal Cord Palsy	-	3	-	1
20. Ear Ache	3	2	4	2
21. Furnculosis	-	1	3	1
22. Vestibulitis	2	1	-	-
23. Miscellaneous	96	186	77	100
24. NAD	131	136	130	68
Total	1220	!085	873	529

CONCLUSIONS

In all, 6211 cases were examined for different speech, language, hearing and ENT disorders. 2443 cases had speech, language and hearing disorders and 566 cases had no abnormal findings.

Prevalence of speech and language disorders (55.27%) was found to be higher than hearing loss alone (39.49%). Males were found to have more disorders of both speech

Table 9: Total Population and Distribution of Speech and Hearing, and Ear, Nose, Throat Disorders At 19
Different Places

SI. No.	Name of the place	Total population	Speech & Hg problem	ENT Problem
1.	Devanahalli	15,192	72	125
2.	Magadi	17,623	77	134
3.	Hoskote	17,538	138	154
4.	Bangarpete	22,570	94	170
5.	Konanakuntc	3,378	35	102
6.	Anekal	19,267	126	280
7.	Krishnarajapura	7,993	70	117
8.	Madivala	2,550	49	71
9.	Nelamangala	12,574	102	168
10.	Chennapalna	50,725	188	208
11.	Hassan	71,534	217	347
12.	Kadur	19,406	176	202
13.	Doddaballapur	47,168	200	250
14.	Tumkur	1,08,670	291	343
15.	YN Hoskole	7,508	106	203
16.	Gowribidanur	18,738	165	302
17.	Chintamani	39,208	162	300
18.	Vijayapura	17,212	122	103
19.	Yelahanka	16,020	144	128
	Total	5,14,874	2504	3707

and hearing, and ENT than females. 39.74% of ENT cases had CSOM. Prevalence of hearing loss and hearing loss with delayed speech and language in general population was found to be 2.56 per thousand, which was more then as reported by National sample Survey (1.27 per thousand population).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Census of India 1981, Series-9, Karnataka Paper 2 of 1983. Final Population Totals-Director of Census Operations, Karnataka. Gillespie S.K. and Cooper E.B., 1973-Pevalence of Speech problems in Junior and Senior High Schools, Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 16, 739-743.

Hull, F M. Mielke, P W., Timmons R.J. and Willeford, J A., 1971, The National Speech and Hearing Survey; Preliminary results. ASHA September, 501-509.

Kapur, Y P. 1967, Project Report (VRA) Mythili. MA 1982, Analysis of cases seen till March 1981 at the A1ISH.J of AH India Institute of Speech and Hearing. 13,7-11.

Nikam, S, 1970, School Screening Programme in Mysore City, J of All India Institute of Speech and Hearing. 1, 28-31.

Problems and Research needs in Speech and Hearing in India. Proceedings of the V AH India Workshop on Speech and Hearing problems in India.

Ram Mohan Babu P and Styendra Kumar, 1972. An Analysis of 1000 consecutive cases seen at AIISH. J of All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, 3, 98-107.

Stevenson, J and Richmon, N, 1976. The prevalence of language delay in a population of 3 year old children and its association with general retardation. Developmental Medicine Child Neurology, 18, 431-441.

Stewart, J M. 1981, Multidimensional sealing analysis of communicative disorders by race and sex in a mid-south public school system. J of Communication disorders 14, 467-483.

Stewart, J.M. 1982, Primary Communicative disorders in the Nashville Schools: 1979-80. J. of All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, 13, 72-103.

Subramanyam, M. G. and Satyan, H S. 1973. Speech and Hearing screening camps and exhibitions: A brief report J of All India Institute of Speech and Hearing . 4, 86-91.

Syed Mehaboob, Satyan H S and Subramanyam, M.G. 1973, Hearing loss cases: Seen in Speech and Hearing Camps, J of All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, 494-83.

Vishwanath, N.S. Nagaraj, M.N. Swamy, Sridhar, C V. and Satyan, H.S., 1971,

Naguvanahalli Screening - A pilot project, J of All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, 2, 136-139.

Welsh, L.W., Healy, MP., and Jenkintown P. A. 1983. Effects of sound deprevation on central hearing Laryngoscope, 93(12), 1569-1575.