# Breath Duration in the Speech of the Hearing Impaired

SHUKLA R.S.

Breath duration was measured in the speech of the thirty severely hearing impaired individuals. Thirty normally hearing individuals matched for age, sex and education wherever possible were served as controls. Breath duration was found to be reduced in the speech of the hearing impaired individuals. This observation has been interpreted in light of the physiological studies hitherto reported.

phonation duration The which been defined as the maximum has amount of time an individual can sustain phonation after taking a deep inhalation, has been studied extensively both in normals (Van Riper, 1954; Fairbanks, 1960: Purushothama, Shashidhar and Rangamani; 1982) and in clinical population like laryngeal pathology (Von Leden, Yanagihara and Wener-Kukok, 1967; Michel and Wendahl, 1971; Nataraja, 1987). Arnold (1959) has said that " this simple test gives information on the efficiency of the phonophonic sound generation in the larynx."

Inadequate breath control in the speech of the hearing impaired individuals was first reported by Hudgins In 1934. He concluded that the hearing impaired speakers expel much more breath while speaking than do hearing speakers and consequently they are likely to interrupt the speech flow more frequently in order to permit the intake of air. Scuri (1935) also reported that deaf speakers tend to use more breath while speaking than when not speaking. Asp (1975) and Nickerson (1975) opined that the breath duration is an important factor in intelligibility. Stoker speech and Lape (1980) studied the relationship between breath duration and speech intelligibility. They found a positive correlation between breath duration speech intelligibility, theneby and confirming the observation of Asp (1975) and Nickerson (1975). The present study aims to measure the breath duration in a large group of

hearing impaired individuals and to interpret the results obtained with respect to physiological data hitherto reported.

### Methodology:

Thirty hearing impaired individuals were selected as subjects. The mean age of the subjects was 15.67 years, the age range being 11 to 28 years. The hearing impaired individuals had to satisfy the following conditions. 1) Should have congenital bilateral hearing loss (PTA of greater than 70dBHL, ANSI 1969, in the better ear), 2) Should have no additional handicap other than that directly related to the hearing impairment, 3) Should be older than 10 years of age. Thirty normally hearing subjects were selected to match the each hearing impaired subject in terms of age, sex and education wherever possible. No hearing impaired subject differed from his control by more than six months. Each subject was instructed to take a deep breath and then phonate [a] vowel. Each subject was asked to do this 3 times. These 3 trails were timed by a stop watch and the longest elapsed time in seconds was considered as phonation duration.

### Results and **Discussion**:

Table 1 shows mean breath duration and standard deviation of younger (11 to 15 years old) and older (18 to 28 years old) subjects of normally hearing speakers. A comparison of breath duration between younger and older

	Mean	SD
Older group	17.30	2.3
Younger group	13.65	1.54
Difference in Sec.	3.65	0.49
Satistical significance	t = 13.03	CR = 1.17 NS

Table 1 : Mean Breath Duration and Standard Deviation of Younger and Older Subjects of Normally Hearing Subjects.

subjects, not surprisingly showed that the older subjects had a longer breath duration. The difference was found to Previous be statistically significant. research has also indicated a similar finding (Van Riper, 1954; Fairbanks, 1960: Purushothama et.al., 1982). However. the two groups did not differ in terms of variation in breath duration (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the mean breath duration and standard deviations in the normally hearing and the hearing impaired subjects. It may be noted that the hearing impaired speakers had shorter phonation duration а when compared to the normally hearing subjects. The difference was statistically significant. However, like in the normally hearing subjects. the older hearing impaired subjects had longer breath duration, that is, 12.2 sec., when compared to the younger hearing impaired subjects who had breath duration of 10.3 seconds.

The probable explanations for the reduced phonation duration in the speech of the hearing impaired are 1) The aberrant respiratory patterns like, initiation of phonation at inappropriate lung volumes, to speak within a fairly restricated lung volumes (Forner and Hixon. 1977: Whitehead. 1982). high average expiratory air flow rates (Itoh and Horii, 1985). 2) Inadequate control of the expiratory cycle particularlv the co-ordination between the respiratory system and the laryngeal system. As Borden and Harris (1980) put it the problem of respiration common to some speech pathologists are not a matter of needing more energy since, one fourth of vital capacity is usually used at conversalevels tional but more likely are problems in control and modification of the air stream. 3) Abnormal laryngeal function is yet another cause for the reduced phonation duration in the speech of the hearing impaired. For example, Monsen (1979), demonstrated differences between hearing

Table 2: Mean and Standard Deviation of Breath Duration in the Speech of the Normally Hearing and Hearing Impaired Subjects.

	Normally Hearing	Hearing Impaired
Mean	15.20	10.93
Standard Deviation	2.21	2.98

impaired and hearing subjects, for successive changes of the glottal waveforms from one period to another, evidences of diplophonia in the waveforms of the hearing impaired. Metz et. al., (1982), also provided evidences of abnormal laryngeal function, that Is, inappropriate positioning of the vocal folds prior to the onset of phonation and subsequent patterns of abnormal vocal fold vibration in the hearing impaired speakers. Thus, these physioevidences namelv aberrant logical respiratory patterns, inadequate respiratory and laryngeal co-ordination and abnormal laryngeal function confirm the earlier observations made by Hudgins (1934), Scuri (1935), Asp (1975) and Nickerson (1975).

## Therapeutic Implications:

Previous research plus the present study have reported shorter phonation

Arnold, G. (1959) "Vocal rehabilitation of paralytic dysphonia, V. Vocal symtomatology after bilateral loss of abduction", *Archives of otolarungology*, 70, 444-453.

- Asp, C.W. (1975) "Measurement of aural speech perception and oral speech production of the hearing impaired". Measurement procedures in speech hearing and language, Ed. S. Singh, Baltimore, University park press.
- Borden, G.J. and Harris, K.S. (1980) "Speech science primer", Baltimore, USA.
- Fairbanks, G. (1960) "Voice and articulation drill book", New-York, Harper.
- Forner, L. and Hixon, T.J., (1977) Respiratory kinematics in profoundly hearing impaired speakers", *Journal of speech and hearing research,* 16, 373-408.
- Hudgins, C.V., (1934) "A comparative study of the speech co-ordination of the deaf and normal subjects",

duration for the hearing impaired speakers. Research also has indicated a positive correlation between breath intelligibility duration and speech (Stoker and Lape, 1980). Therefore speech, therapy should aim at improving the duration of phonation right from the early stages of speech therapy. Attempts at modifying aberrant respiratory patterns improving the co-ordination between respiratory and laryngeal systems and improving the efficiency of the laryngeal system should help in improving the phonation duration.

### Acknowledgements:

This article is a part of the Ph.D. dissertation submitted to the University of Mysore. I am grateful to Dr. N. Rathna director, All India institute of Speech and Hearing, Mysore, for his guidance. This research work was supported by Indian council of Medical Research, New-Delhi (Grant No. ,3/1/1/5(73)/81 CAR-II.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Journal of genetic psychology, 54, (As mentioned in Nickerson, 1975).

- Itoh, M. and Horii, Y. (1985) "Airflow, volume and durational characteristics of oral reading by the hearing impaired, *Journal of communication disorders*, 18, 393-407.
- Metz, D.E. Samar, V.J. Schiavetti, N. Sitler, R. and Whitehead, R. (1982) "Acoustic dimensions of hearing impaired speakers intelligibility", *Journal of speech and hearing research*, 28, 345-355.
- Michel, J. and Wendhal, R. (1971) "Correlates of voice production", in *Handbook of speech pathology and audiology*, Ed. Travis, Englewood, Cliffs, Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Monsen, R.B. (1976) "The production of English stop consonants in the speech of deaf children", *Journal* of phonetics, 4, 29-41.
- Mosen, R.B. (1979) "Acoustic qualities of phonation in young hearing impaired children", *Journal of*

JAIISH, Vol. XIX, 1988

speech and hearing disorders, 22, 270-288.

- Nataraja, N.P., (1987) "Differential diagnosis of dysphonias" Ph.D dissertation submitted to the University of Mysore, Mysore.
- Nickerson, R.S. (1975) "Characteristics of the speech of deaf persons", *The volta review*, 77, 342-362.
- Purushothama, G., Shashidhar, K.N. and Rangamani, G.N. (1982) "Normal phonation duration", Journal of all India institute of speech and hearing, 8, 37-40.
- Scuri, D. (1935) "Restirazione e fonazione nei sordomuti", *Rassegna di educazione e fonetica biologica*, 14, 82-113.

- Stoker, R.G. and Lape, W.N. (1980) "Analysis of some non-articulatory aspects of the speech of hearing impaired children", *The volta review*, 82, 498-518.
- Van Riper, C. (1954) Speech correction, principles and methods: Englewood, Cliffs, New Jersey Prentice Hall.
- Von Leden, H. Yanagihara, N. and Wener-Kukok, E. (1967) "Teflon in unilateral vocal cord paralysis", *Archieves of otolaryngology,* 85, 110-118.
- Whitehead, R. (1982) "Some respiratory and aerodynamic patterns in the speech of the hearing impaired", in I. Hochberg, H. Levitt and M.J. Osberger (Egs) Speech of the hearing Impaired:, Research, Training and Personnel preparation, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.